27

28

## 1 2 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 YVONNE COX, No. C-04-2530 SI 6 Plaintiff. ORDER RE INDEPENDENT MENTAL 7 VS. **EXAMINATION** 8 CALIFORNIA SECURITY ALARMS, INC., 9 Defendant. 10 11 The parties have submitted to the Court a dispute concerning whether plaintiff should be required to 12 undergo an independent mental examination pursuant to Rule 35, F.R.Civ.P.<sup>1</sup> 13 The Court finds that defendant has made an adequate showing to warrant the requested IME. Plaintiff 14 has put her mental state in controversy in this case, by allegations in her complaint, by her interrogatory 15 responses and inher deposition testimony. She alleges emotional devastation, severe depression, sleeplessness, 16 nightmares, worsened physical health, and suicidal ideation. She reports having visited a mental health counselor 17 for many months and states that treatment is ongoing. She also reports that she has suffered other traumatic 18 events, both before and after her termination by defendant, so that causation is a controverted area. 19 The Court finds that defendant has demonstrated good cause for the requested IME, to wit: a clinical 20 psychiatric interview that would last a total of 3-5 hours, with psychological testing between the two interviews. 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 Dated: August 31, 2005 23 SUSAN ILLSTON 24 United States District Judge 25 26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties submitted the issue to the Court for consideration on letter briefs, submitted on August 10, 2005. [Docket #38.]

28

## 1 2 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 YVONNE COX, No. C-04-2530 SI 6 Plaintiff. ORDER RE INDEPENDENT MENTAL 7 VS. **EXAMINATION** 8 CALIFORNIA SECURITY ALARMS, INC., 9 Defendant. 10 11 The parties have submitted to the Court a dispute concerning whether plaintiff should be required to 12 undergo an independent mental examination pursuant to Rule 35, F.R.Civ.P.<sup>1</sup> 13 The Court finds that defendant has made an adequate showing to warrant the requested IME. Plaintiff 14 has put her mental state in controversy in this case, by allegations in her complaint, by her interrogatory 15 responses and inher deposition testimony. She alleges emotional devastation, severe depression, sleeplessness, 16 nightmares, worsened physical health, and suicidal ideation. She reports having visited a mental health counselor 17 for many months and states that treatment is ongoing. She also reports that she has suffered other traumatic 18 events, both before and after her termination by defendant, so that causation is a controverted area. 19 The Court finds that defendant has demonstrated good cause for the requested IME, to wit: a clinical 20 psychiatric interview that would last a total of 3-5 hours, with psychological testing between the two interviews. 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 Dated: August 31, 2005 23 SUSAN ILLSTON 24 United States District Judge 25 26 27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties submitted the issue to the Court for consideration on letter briefs, submitted on August 10, 2005. [Docket #38.]